IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

V.

) CRIMINAL ACTION

SOHAIB AKHTER,

) 1:15-cr-124

Defendant.

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT

SENTENCING HEARING

October 2, 2015

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE T.S. ELLIS, III

Presiding

APPEARANCES: JOHN TADDEI, AUSA JENNIFER CLARKE, AUSA KELLEN DWYER, AUSA

United States Attorney's Office

2100 Jamieson Ave. Alexandria, VA 22314

For the Government

GADEIR I. ABBAS, ESQ.

The Law Office of Gadeir Abbas

1155 F St. NW, Suite 1050

Washington, DC 20004

For the Defendant

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR Official Court Reporter USDC, Eastern District of Virginia Alexandria, Virginia

1	<u>INDEX</u>	
2		
3	RECAPITULATION BY THE COURT	3
4	OBJECTIONS/CORRECTIONS TO PRESENTENCE REPORT	5
5	ALLOCUTION BY THE DEFENDANT	46
6	ALLOCUTION ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT	67
7	ALLOCUTION BY THE GOVERNMENT	71
8	FURTHER ALLOCUTION BY THE DEFENDANT	80
9	IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE BY THE COURT	85
10	(Court adjourned)	
11	(Court adjourned)	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	(Court called to order at 2:30 p.m. in USA
4	v. Sohaib Akhter.)
5	THE CLERK: United States versus Sohaib
6	Akhter, Criminal Case Number 1:15-cr-124.
7	Counsel please note your appearance for the
8	record.
9	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Good afternoon, your
10	Honor. John Taddei, Jennifer Clarke and Kellen Dwyer
11	for the United States.
12	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon
13	again.
14	And for the defendant?
15	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Gadeir Abbas appearance for
16	the defendant. Good afternoon, your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
18	Good afternoon to you, Mr. Akhter.
19	THE DEFENDANT: Good afternoon.
20	THE COURT: All right. This matter is
21	before the Court for sentencing, this defendant having
22	been found guilty on the basis of a plea to Counts 1
23	and
24	Mr. Taddei, what were the counts that
25	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Counts 2 and Counts 8,

```
1
       your Honor.
 2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: That's correct, your Honor.
 3
                   THE COURT: Two and Eight. Just a moment
 4
       while I collect my documents here.
 5
                   (Pause.)
                   THE COURT: Counts 1, 2 and 8.
 6
 7
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor.
 8
                   THE COURT: All right.
 9
                   Counts 1 and 2, of course, are conspiracy to
10
       commit wire fraud and conspiracy to access a protected
11
       computer. These all go to Victim Number 1; is that
12
       correct?
13
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor, Victim
14
       Company Number 1.
                   THE COURT: And that's the mother of
15
16
       Mr. Ishak and her company.
17
                   And then Count 8 is the State Department,
18
       access to the State Department computer.
19
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes.
20
                   THE COURT: All right. I have recited the
21
       offense conduct and it's set out in some considerable
       detail in the statement of facts that Mr. Sohaib Akhter
22
23
       agreed to in the course of his plea colloquy.
24
                   Let me inquire, first of all, Mr. Abbas,
25
       have you had an adequate opportunity to review the
```

```
1
       presentence report and to review it with your client?
 2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, I have, your Honor.
 3
                   THE COURT: Mr. Sohaib Akhter, have you had
       an adequate opportunity to review the presentence report
 4
 5
       and to review it with your counsel, Mr. Abbas?
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I have.
 6
 7
                   THE COURT: And you fully satisfied with the
       advice and counsel he has provided to you in this case?
 8
 9
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
10
                   THE COURT: All right, sir. You may be
11
       seated.
12
                   THE DEFENDANT: (Complies.)
13
             OBJECTIONS/CORRECTIONS TO PRESENTENCE REPORT
14
                   THE COURT: All right, Mr. Abbas, do you
15
       have any objections or corrections to any of the facts,
16
       conclusions or calculations contained in the presentence
17
       investigation report?
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
19
                   I spoke with counsel for U.S. Attorney's and
20
       regarding their objection to sophisticated means --
21
                   It's my understanding that you all are not
22
       going to be asserting it any more?
23
                   THE COURT: I can't hear you. I'm sorry.
24
       Speak up.
25
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: We had -- opposing counsel
```

```
1
       and myself had a discussion regarding the sophisticated
2
       means enhancement that was the basis of the U.S.
3
       attorney's objection. And so just to go through --
 4
                   THE COURT: That's their objection, not
 5
       yours.
 6
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS:
                                    Sure.
                               I am asking about yours.
 7
                   THE COURT:
 8
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes. The first objection
 9
       that we have would be the victim counting enhancements,
10
       regarding --
11
                   THE COURT: Paragraph 93, you argue that it
12
       didn't involve 50 or more victims, and that the 16
13
       vendors are the only victims. That's your view.
14
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: It's not -- my view is not
15
       that the 16 vendors are the only victims.
16
                   The point that we are making is that in
17
       order to use a credit card in a manner that creates a
18
       victim under the victim enhancement, a credit card has
19
       to be used in some manner.
20
                   And the government's -- the statement of
21
       facts itself only indicates that 16 credit -- and I
22
       believe that there has been some correction. I believe
23
       that the government's position now is that there were 18
24
       credit cards used to make purchases from 16 vendors, for
25
       a total of 32 victims -- I'm sorry -- 34 victims.
```

```
1
                   Now, that's less than 50. And it's unclear
2
       where the government -- there is ambiguity in the
 3
       statement of facts as to how they are getting to 50.
 4
                   The PSR statement indicates that the way
 5
       that we are getting above 50 victims is by adding the 40
       credit cards that are listed in the statement of facts
 6
       as having been, quote, used or attempted to be used by
 7
 8
       the coconspirators.
 9
                   But what is not clear is that -- what --
10
       their definition of "attempted to use" is not comporting
11
       with what, "used to create a victim" under the
12
       sentencing quidelines.
13
                   So right now we have a situation where the
14
       government can articulate 18 purchases from 16 vendors,
15
       for a grand total of 34 victims, which is less than 50.
16
                   THE COURT: All right.
17
                   Mr. Taddie, as I understood it, what you
18
       were arguing is that the 40 individuals had their means
19
       of identification unlawfully used by defendants, so
20
       there were 40 victims and 16 vendors. That accounts for
21
       56.
22
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes.
23
                   THE COURT: That is over 50.
24
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That's correct, your
25
       Honor.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I believe Mr. Abbas's argument is that in the statement of facts for Mr. Akhter, paragraph 10 and paragraph 29 in the PSR, Mr. Akhter stated that he used or attempted to use stolen credit card numbers and means of identification belonging to more than 40 individuals to purchase goods or services. What that means -- and he is incorrect about the 18 transactions. It's actually upwards of 35 successful transactions. Now in addition to that, you also have other individuals where the -- where the defendant used, meaning they typed in the credit card information, people's names, people's addresses, clicked "submit," but fraud protection methods may have caught that. Now, a definition of "use" that excludes those transactions would not comport with the guidelines given, you know, for example, if you consider --THE COURT: But you don't even have to rely on those. ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor. THE COURT: So let's stick with the ones that you -- you are saying that --ATTORNEY TADDEI: What I am saying is, I am not sure where the 18 number came from. There were 16 business victims identified that actually experienced

```
1
       financial losses, and those are the ones that are listed
2
       in the PSR as the companies that are due restitution.
 3
                   Now those 16 successful -- those 16
 4
       businesses were victimized not just a single time, but
 5
       some of them were victimized on multiple occasions using
       multiple credit cards belonging to multiple individuals.
 6
                   And the number of those individuals is
 7
       approximately 35 actual transactions that were completed
 8
 9
       using specific individuals' credit card numbers.
10
                   In addition to that, your Honor, there were
11
       many instances of unsuccessful transactions where the
       defendants use people's credit card numbers to try to
12
13
       buy item, but weren't successful due to fraud protection
14
       methods.
15
                   Altogether, that brings the number to far
16
       above 50.
17
                   THE COURT: Let's -- can you get to that
       number, more than 50, by relying entirely on the
18
19
       statement of facts?
20
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: I believe so, yes, your
21
       Honor. Because the --
22
                   THE COURT: All right.
23
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: -- statement of facts, as
24
       indicated in PSE paragraph 29, states, "Coconspirators
25
       used or attempted to use stolen credit card numbers and
```

```
1
       means of identification belonging to more than 40
       individuals."
 2
 3
                   What that means is that they successfully
 4
       completed transactions and put in people's information,
 5
       though it was blocked by fraud protection methods, of
       more than 40 individuals.
 6
 7
                   The government's argument is that those 40
       individuals and their information comport with the
 8
 9
       guidelines definition of "use," which doesn't require a
10
       successful transaction; it requires, quite simply, use
11
       of someone's information.
                   THE COURT: And so to that 40 you add the 16
12
13
       vendors.
14
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor.
15
                   THE COURT: And that gives you 56.
16
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That gives you 56. That's
17
       correct, your Honor.
18
                   THE COURT: What's your response to that,
19
       Mr. Abbas?
20
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, there is no --
21
       there is no authority for the argument that the
22
       government is making that, not successfully utilizing --
23
       not successfully concluding a transaction is -- doing
24
       something less than concluding a transaction is enough
25
       to satisfy the use requirement.
```

```
1
                   And the reason why --
 2
                   THE COURT: Is there any authority to the
 3
       contrary?
 4
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: It's an open -- so -- yes,
 5
       there is, I think. So the --
                   THE COURT: What do you mean? What is it?
 6
 7
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Well, I agree -- let me
       walk that back. I think it is an ambiguous situation --
 8
 9
                               Is there any authority for the
                   THE COURT:
10
       proposition that an attempted use that is unsuccessful
11
       is nonetheless a victim?
12
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: The textual basis says that
13
       if you use the victim's -- if you use the means of
14
       identification --
15
                   THE COURT: Well, if you use it -- it
16
       doesn't say "successful." It just says use it.
17
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: But here they didn't use
18
       it, right? They obtained the victim means of
19
       identification, but in -- it wasn't used in any manner.
20
                   And what's important here is that the
21
       government --
22
                   THE COURT: It says "used or attempted to
23
       use, " 40 -- more than 40 individuals.
24
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: But we don't know what --
25
       so they do need the attempted to use credit cards to get
```

```
1
       above 50. So the first --
 2
                   THE COURT: And do you have any authority
 3
       that says attempted to use is not enough for a victim?
 4
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: The text of what --
 5
                   THE COURT: Other than the text.
 6
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor.
 7
                   THE COURT: All right.
                   Do you have any authority, Mr. Taddie, that
 8
 9
       covers this?
10
                   And what provision are we looking at?
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, we looking at
11
12
       specifically Sentencing Guidelines -- and it's
13
       Section -- let me look at my notes here, Your Honor.
14
       It's Section 2B1.1(b)(2), Comment Note (4)(E). And I'll
15
       read the language for you, your Honor, that we believe
16
       is operative here.
17
                   "In a case involving means of
18
       identification" --
19
                   THE COURT: I'm sorry, 2B what?
20
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: My apologize, your Honor.
21
       2B1.1(b)(2), Comments Note (4)(E), capital E.
22
                   THE COURT:
                               2B1 point what?
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: 2B1.1(b)(2) --
23
24
                   THE COURT: Are you saying D or B?
25
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: B as in boy, your Honor.
```

```
1
                   THE COURT:
                               2B1 point --
 2
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: -1. And then Comment Note
 3
       (4) (E), Commentary Note (4) (E).
 4
                   THE COURT: All right.
 5
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: And in that commentary it
 6
       states:
 7
                   "In a case involving means of
       identification, 'victim' also means" -- and then there
 8
 9
       is a break -- "any individual whose means of
10
       identification was used unlawfully without authority."
11
                   And I would direct your Honor's attention to
12
       the case that we cited in our filing, United States
13
       versus Melchor. It's an unpublished case from the
14
       Federal Appendix, but we believe that it's on point.
15
       It's from 2014.
16
                   It talks about a defendant's argument in
17
       which he states that the District Court erred in
18
       applying a two-level enhancement for the number of
19
       victims -- and I'm reading from the opinion here, your
20
       Honor -- "because only victims who suffered a financial
21
       loss may be counted for purpose of the guidelines."
22
                   The opinion goes on to say, "The District
23
       Court did not err by considering the individuals whose
24
       identifying information was stolen by Melchor to be
25
       victims for purposes of the guidelines, making the
```

1 enhancement appropriate." 2 It doesn't indicate that there is any 3 necessity for a successful transaction. And, in fact, 4 that would be contrary to the plain reading of the word 5 "use." These defendants used this information. They took it, they put it into different websites to try to 6 7 buy things. And the only reason it was stopped was because of fraud protection methods. 8 9 If actual successful transactions were 10 required, then there would be no victim of the crime of 11 attempted credit card fraud. There would be no real 12 purpose for this additional definition of "victim" 13 beyond those who suffer actual financial loss. 14 THE COURT: But the way it's taken into 15 account is in restitution. If you fail to succeed in 16 your efforts, you don't have to pay money for it to a 17 victim; but the victim still counts because, in your 18 view, there is still a victim. 19 ATTORNEY TADDEI: There is still a victim. 20 They still had their credit card information stolen and 21 used by these people. They didn't suffer losses because 22 they weren't successful, but that doesn't change the

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Abbas, I will give you the final word.

23

24

25

fact that it was used.

ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, there is a possibility for the means of identification to be used and create a victim that doesn't -- that doesn't involve a culmination of a financial transaction.

If, for instance, Sohaib had obtained the

means of identification and sold that means of identification to someone else, he would be using that means of identification by creating --

THE COURT: Your argument is he didn't derive any money from it, so he shouldn't be charged with it.

ATTORNEY ABBAS: But it's broader than that. It's that within the definition of "use" that creates victims under this guideline, that attempted use, if it doesn't result in any impact to the credit card holder, shouldn't count as a victim. And at this stage they don't have, in the statement of facts, above 50 actual uses.

THE COURT: All right.

The objection is overruled. I think there are more than 50. I disagree with the defendant's argument that an attempted use that does not result in loss is not a use. I think an attempted use is a use under the guidelines.

MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, RPR/CM/RMR

And so under the statement of facts there

1 are 40 uses or attempted to use the credit cards, and 2 the 16 victims are -- company victims are an additional 3 16. So it's greater than 50. So that objection is 4 overruled. 5 What's the next objection, Mr. Abbas? ATTORNEY ABBAS: There is the objection to 6 7 not granting Mr. Akhter acceptance of responsibility for his conduct. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. I'll come to that in 10 a minute. What, other than acceptance of 11 12 responsibility? 13 ATTORNEY ABBAS: The paragraph 102, applying 14 a two-level enhancement, saying that the Count 8 offense 15 involved a computer system used by the government in 16 furtherance of the administration of justice, national 17 defense or national security. 18 The defendant makes an objection to this 19 enhancement because the government has been 20 characterizing the computer system that Mr. Akhter's 21 conduct regards as the passport lock-box. 22 But paragraph 35 of the statement of facts 23 makes it very clear that the passport lock-box is, 24 quote, a bureau program, un-quote. So it's not a 25 computer system. It's a program that has technological

parts and doesn't -- so the way that this Court should construe the computer system at issue here is, instead, the CCD site access database through which Mr. Akhter looked up passport information that regarded the 62 individuals identified in the PSR.

CCD site access a database that essentially contain pictures of passport information. There is no ability for individuals that have access to CCD site access, for those individuals to approve passport applications, for those individuals to deny passport applications, for those individuals to amend those passport applications in any manner. It is literally a repository of pictures of passports, essentially.

And so because we have an enhancement that says there are certain categories of government databases that, if affected, require a two-level enhancement, there must be government databases that don't justify the enhancement.

And this is an example of a database that is of such a low-level nature that it doesn't regard the administration of justice, national defense or national security. It is simply a passport database -- it is simply a database of passport pictures.

THE COURT: Mr. Taddie, he says it's no big deal. It's just a database with pictures and

1 information. You can't change anything. You can't get 2 visas. 3 ATTORNEY TADDIE: Your Honor --THE COURT: So this isn't -- doesn't 4 5 entitle -- or doesn't warrant the two-level addition. ATTORNEY TADDEI: First of all, your Honor, 6 7 we believe the defendant's focus solely on the passport database is unduly narrow, given the full scope of 8 9 conduct involved in this particular count. 10 One, we -- just to step back for a second, 11 we do disagree with the assertion that this particular 12 database -- which as we have been over several times 13 now, included people's passport information visa 14 information does not have national security 15 implications, given that is the key and main document 16 used for immigration in the United States. 17 But in addition to that, the defendant and 18 his coconspirators also targeted the broader Bureau of 19 Consular Affairs systems, and as we indicated, those are 20 systems that deal not just with passport processing, 21 they deal with consular affairs, embassies abroad, 22 consular affairs within the United States for visas. 23 And the danger of surreptitious access to 24 these systems was exemplified by the defendant's own 25 conversations with Mr. Ishak, in which he talked about

```
1
       if he was able to gain access, he would be able to
 2
       create and approve visas if he wanted to, without State
 3
       Department oversight, to sell them, such activities
       unquestionably have tremendous national security
 4
 5
       implications.
                   And just one last point, your Honor, on the
 6
 7
       defendant's argument that there have to be some
 8
       government computer systems that are not covered, we are
 9
       not talking about someone who, you know, tried to do
10
       things on, you know, the Department of Agriculture
11
       system or some other U.S. Government bureau that is more
12
       far afield from the center of national security. This
13
       is the Bureau of Consular Affairs, at the center of the
14
       safety and security of not only our embassies abroad, as
15
       well as immigration security in the United States.
16
                   So we believe this enhancement is proper
17
       here.
18
                   THE COURT: All right.
19
                   The matter is before the Court on the
20
       defendant's objection as to Count 8 to add two levels.
21
                   The two levels are warranted, according to
22
       the probation officer, under 2B1.1(b)(18)(i).
23
                   I believe that's correct, isn't it,
24
       Mr. Taddei?
25
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: I believe it's
```

1 (b) (18) (A) (i), your Honor. 2 THE COURT: (A) (i). All right. 3 And I think that relates to a two-level 4 enhancement for an offense involving a computer system 5 used by a government entity in furtherance of the administration of justice, national defense or national 6 7 security. So the question before me, given Mr. Abbas's 8 9 argument that this is nothing but a repository of 10 passport information that can't be changed, that that 11 doesn't fall into that category -- I don't agree. As the presentence report indicates and as 12 paragraph 37 of the statement of facts indicates, when 13 14 the defendant logged on to a State Department computer, 15 he got a warning that told him: You are accessing U.S. 16 Government information system. And it went on to tell 17 him that it was use -- for government authorized use 18 only. 19 I think the information that the defendant 20 accessed via the State Department was certainly sensitive information, unclassified perhaps, but 21 22 sensitive. It allowed him to search for and access 23 passport information. 24 And I think it's also worth noting that in 25 the course of the offense, he also attempted to use this

1 access to create an unauthorized account that would have 2 enabled him to access State Department computer systems 3 undetected. ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, by reference to 4 5 the unauthorized account, are you --THE COURT: Let me finish. 6 7 ATTORNEY ABBAS: I apologize. THE COURT: When I am done, then I will ask 8 9 you if I have anything. In the meantime, listen. You 10 may be seated. 11 ATTORNEY ABBAS: (Complies.) THE COURT: As I said, he attempted to use 12 13 his access to create an unauthorized account that would 14 have enabled him to access the State Department computer 15 system. 16 There was a scheme, where he ultimately was 17 unsuccessful, to install a physical device at a State 18 Department building. And had that scheme been 19 successful, it would have allowed him unilaterally to 20 approve visa applications without State Department 21 authorization. It was, as I noted, however, ultimately 22 unsuccessful. 23 The issue here on the two-level enhancement 24 under 2B1.1 is whether -- the fact that he couldn't 25 change this information and whether -- and the fact that

```
1
       it was merely passport information -- names, addresses
 2
       and so forth and passport information generally --
 3
       whether that falls within the category of a system in
 4
       furtherance of the administration of justice, national
 5
       defense or national security.
                   The defense argues that it is not, and I
 6
 7
       disagree. It's really that simple.
                   Have I missed anything, since you prevailed,
 8
 9
       Mr. Taddie?
10
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor.
11
                   THE COURT: Now, did you have something you
12
       wanted to correct me on, Mr. Abbas?
13
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. I
14
       apologize sincerely for interrupting.
15
                   THE COURT: That's all right.
16
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: You made a comment
17
       regarding the defendant attempting to create another
18
       account --
19
                   THE COURT: The unsuccessful one.
20
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: So --
21
                   THE COURT: Oh, before that.
22
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Well, there were
23
       allegations earlier in this case regarding attempts to
24
       create unauthorized accounts. Those are not reflected
25
       in the statement of facts. And so what -- what does
```

```
1
       remain --
 2
                   THE COURT: Well, they also are irrelevant
 3
       to the decision that I make here. They are not relevant
       to this decision.
 4
 5
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: I did mention the unsuccessful
 6
 7
       attempt, as indicated in paragraph 44 of the statement
       of facts, but that, too, isn't pertinent to this
 8
 9
       particular decision.
10
                   I think your point was that access to this
11
       particular piece of the computer system did not involve
12
       a -- a matter of administration of justice or national
13
       defense or national security, and therefore the two
14
       levels shouldn't apply.
15
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
16
                   THE COURT: And the simple answer is, I
17
       don't agree. I think it does deal with the
18
       administration of justice. That kind of information is
19
       always used and accessed for that sort of thing.
20
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: I understand, your Honor.
21
                   THE COURT: But I could be wrong, and that's
22
       why there is an opportunity for you to appeal my
23
       decisions.
24
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: And I apologize for trying
25
       to clarify in the middle of --
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: That's all right. I have
2
       forgotten it.
 3
                   All right. Now we go on -- that was
 4
       paragraph 102.
 5
                   Now as to paragraph -- the next objection
       you have, I think, was to paragraph 103, the official
 6
       victim?
 7
 8
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
 9
                   THE COURT: What's that objection?
10
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: So, it's related, in the
11
       defendant's view, to an objection that was sustained.
12
       The -- paragraph 100 initially was calculated to provide
13
       a four-level enhancement based on more than 50 victims.
14
       So each of the 62 persons that had their passport
15
       information accessed were initially counted as victims.
16
                   Prior to the final PSR, we objected, the
17
       government agreed, and the final PSR reflected, quote,
18
       "There is no evidence the defendant used the personally
19
       identifying information of the 62 individuals whose
20
       passport information he unlawfully accessed," un-quote.
                   So now we have an anomalous situation where
21
22
       paragraph 100 is saying that there are no victims
       besides the government, and --
23
24
                   THE COURT: There are victims. I just
25
       recited 50 of them. You are talking about official
```

```
1
       victims.
 2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Well -- so paragraph 100,
3
       the conclusion was that the 62 individuals whose
 4
       passport information were unlawfully accessed, that
 5
       information was just accessed and not used in any
       manner, they don't count as victims.
 6
 7
                   And so when we get to paragraph --
                   THE COURT: You are talking about paragraph
 8
 9
       100 of the presentence report?
10
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes.
11
                   THE COURT: I don't see -- paragraph 100 of
12
       the presentence report? Are we looking at the same
13
       thing?
14
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: I am referring to the
15
       victims that -- I am referring to the persons that
16
       had -- that Sohaib Akhter looked up their passport
       information.
17
18
                   THE COURT: All right. What about that?
19
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: So one of those -- one of
20
       those individuals who Sohaib Akhter looked up the
21
       passport information --
22
                   THE COURT: Was a federal agent.
23
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: -- was a federal agent.
24
                   But before you can be an official victim
25
       under the official victim enhancement, you need to be a
```

1 victim first, in the defendant's perspective. And 2 because the official victim, the official -- the 3 government agent's passport information wasn't used in 4 any manner -- no one tried to sell it, no one tried to 5 do anything with it other than observe it --THE COURT: Well, they had conversations 6 7 about it, which I have read. ATTORNEY ABBAS: They had conversations 8 9 about it. But at base, what the, you know, Mr. Sohaib 10 Akhter's motivation was in accessing that information, 11 that passport information, was not to sell it, was not 12 to disseminate it widely; it was to show off to his 13 friends in his circle that he had that access. But that 14 doesn't make the government agent an official victim and 15 warrant that three-level enhancement. 16 The government cites to a few cases that 17 don't explain why -- don't provide an example of how 18 there can be no victims based on looking at information, 19 but somehow the definition of an official victim is 20 somehow broader and different. 21 And so that's why the three-level 22 enhancement for an official victim is not warranted 23 here. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 Mr. Taddie, do you understand what Mr. Abbas

```
1
       is saying about --
 2
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: I think I do, your Honor.
 3
                   THE COURT: All right.
 4
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: And here is the point of
 5
       distinction: My understanding of what his point is, is
 6
       that in paragraph 100 --
                   THE COURT: Of the presentence report.
 7
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: -- of the presentence
 8
 9
       report, yes, your Honor, did not assess an increase for
10
       victims under Section 2B1.1, which is the financial
11
       crimes quideline, because those victims did not suffer
12
       financial loss -- and similar to the argument we were
13
       just having prior on the previous count group -- none of
14
       those individuals' passport or personal information was
       used.
15
16
                   THE COURT: Well, in fact, under --
17
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That's my understanding of
18
       his argument, your Honor.
19
                   THE COURT: All right. He did get an
20
       enhancement for more than 50 victims.
21
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Under Count Group 1, your
22
       Honor, yes.
23
                   THE COURT:
                               That's right.
24
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: He is talking about the
25
       victims for Count Group 8, is what he is referring to.
```

1	THE COURT: I see.		
2	ATTORNEY TADDEI: As I understand his		
3	argument, it's that if there are no victims under 2B1.1,		
4	there can't be an official victim under Chapter 3		
5	THE COURT: Yes.		
6	ATTORNEY TADDEI: specifically Chapter		
7	3A1.2.		
8	THE COURT: What's the answer?		
9	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Where that's wrong, and I		
10	think the Eighth Circuit case that I cited, United		
11	States versus Richak (phonetics), clearly states that		
12	Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 involve distinct sentencing		
13	concerns. Chapter 2 has to do with victims of financial		
14	crimes. Chapter 3 specifies other relevant offense		
15	conduct such as, in this case, the targeting of federal		
16	agents.		
17	And there is no question that the only		
18	reason that Sohaib Akhter accessed Special Agent Ross's		
19	information was because he was investigating him for		
20	other crimes. There would be no other reason for Sohaib		
21	Akhter to be aware of Special Agent Ross's existence.		
22	THE COURT: Indeed, their conversation		
23	reflects that.		
24	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Exactly.		
25	And in addition to their conversation and		

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Mr. Akhter's position is that it's not relevant --
reflects that he was specifically targeted because of
this behavior, and it was evidenced by Muneeb's
statements that he -- you know, what's he going to do
with this? He could consider selling it on the Darknet
to criminals who might find it valuable.
            But we don't even need to necessarily get
there --
            THE COURT: Or otherwise use it.
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: Or otherwise use it.
            The important factor here, though, your
Honor, is that the agent in this case was specifically
targeted due to his official government position, and
that's what makes this unique.
            THE COURT: The case you cited really
doesn't decide the question squarely.
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: It does not, your Honor.
But it does indicate the reason for why there can be no
victim enhancement in paragraph 100, 2B1.1, and there
can be an official victim enhancement. Because the
definition of an official victim is broader than the
definition of a financial crimes victim contained in
2B1.1.
            If an official victim had to suffer some
sort of financial loss or financial injury, then -- you
```

```
1
       know, a prison guard who is beaten by a defendant, or a
2
       police officer who was extorted by virtue of his job,
 3
       could never be an official victim, if it was shoe-horned
 4
       in that way. Therefore, we think that enhancement is
 5
       appropriate, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: All right.
 6
 7
                   Mr. Abbas, I will give you the final word.
 8
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: We are not asserting that
 9
       we had to show some type of financial loss to the agent
10
       affected, and we are definitely not arguing that the
11
       agent whose passport information was looked up -- the
12
       reason that Mr. Akhter looked it up was because he was a
13
       government official. That's not the argument that we
14
       are making.
15
                   But what's --
16
                   THE COURT: The reason he looked it up is
17
       because he was the agent looking at them.
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes. Yes, your Honor.
19
                   THE COURT: He wanted it either for
20
       leverage, as he put it, or to sell.
21
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: There is -- and this is
22
       from the government's perspective and how they
       characterized Mr. Akhter's Akhter's action. Some --
23
24
       some of --
25
                   THE COURT: And Mr. Ishak's statement.
```

```
1
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: But some of -- some of the
       conduct that Mr. Akhter engaged in was explained, not by
2
 3
       a financial incentive, but is best explained, from the
 4
       government's perspective, as his view that he was
 5
       playing a game, he was trying to see what he could do,
       and that doesn't necessarily create an official victim
 6
 7
       here.
                   There is no -- there isn't any clear
 8
 9
       evidence that there was any attempt to sell the passport
10
       information. There wasn't any attempt to profit from
11
       the passport information. It's not as if there was --
12
       this is different than in the Count 1, Count 2
13
       situation, where we are talking about attempted use of
14
       credit cards, because there wasn't an -- there wasn't
15
       even an attempt to use the agent's passport information.
16
       It was obtained, but that doesn't create an official
17
       victim.
18
                   THE COURT: All right.
19
                   Mr. Taddie, anything further?
20
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, I would just
21
       like to underscore the point that Chapter 3 (sic) and
22
       Chapter 3 do involve distinct concerns. Chapter --
23
                   THE COURT:
                               Chapter what?
24
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Chapter 2 of the
25
       Sentencing Guidelines and Chapter 3 involve distinct
```

concerns. Chapter 2 deals with the specific offense, in this instance the financial crimes grouped offense in this hacking instance. And Chapter 3 deals with special offense characteristics.

And the special offense characteristic here is that a specific individual, who is targeted solely by virtue of their employment for the government, in this case investigation of the defendant's illegal activities, had his personal information, not only accessed but removed.

And the defendant wants the high standard that only people who have their passport information sold or who is -- there is financial gain in it for the defendant can be liable for an official victim enhancement, that would unduly narrow the class of official victims well beyond those who experience some form of injury.

In this case there may not be a financial injury, but there's certainly a tangible injury to Special Agent Ross and his family by virtue of having to deal with the fact that this information was out there.

THE COURT: All right.

The matter is before the Court on the defendant's objection to a victim-related adjustment.

It's special -- let's see. It's under --

```
1
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, it's 3A1.2.
 2
                   THE COURT: 3A1.2(a); is that right?
 3
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, that's correct, your
 4
       Honor.
 5
                   THE COURT: And it's a victim-related
 6
       adjustment.
                   And does it add two levels or three levels?
 7
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: It adds three levels, your
 8
 9
       Honor.
10
                   THE COURT: And it adds three levels.
11
                   Now, the defendant says there can't be a
12
       victim here -- that's the government officer -- because
13
       there was no use of the information. It was just
14
       access. And he points out that under paragraph 100
15
       there were no victims under 2B1.1(b)(2), so there can't
16
       be under (3).
17
                   The government points out that the
18
       considerations are different in Chapters 2 and 3, and an
19
       official can be a victim simply by having his
20
       information accessed, and has given some examples of why
21
       that's so.
22
                   I think it is -- and the case the government
23
       cites, which I think I looked at, does not resolve this
       question directly. What it does make clear is that
24
25
       there are different considerations at work in Chapters 2
```

```
1
       and 3.
 2
                   That's the only purpose for which you cited
 3
       it, isn't it, Mr. Taddie?
 4
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That's correct, your
 5
       Honor.
 6
                   THE COURT: It doesn't resolve this precise
 7
       argument Mr. Abbas is making.
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor, though I
 8
 9
       will point your Honor's attention to the second case
10
       that we cited from the D.C. Circuit, which collects
11
       cases that deal with government employee victims who are
       properly characterized under 3A1.2, even in instances
12
13
       where the victim did not experience financial loss or
14
       use of someone's information.
15
                   Those cases, the defendants --
                   THE COURT: What is the cite to that case?
16
17
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: It's United States versus
18
       Hunter, 555 (sic) Federal Appendix 5, is the citation,
19
       your Honor.
20
                   THE COURT: Bring me that case, please, 555
21
       Federal Appendix 5. You will have to go print it out.
22
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: And the purpose for that,
23
       your Honor, just to preview what it says, is essentially
       that if a defendant illegally files false claims of
24
25
       misconduct against a government official, essentially in
```

1 retaliation, then they are considered an official 2 victim. 3 And we used it -- again, it's not 4 specifically on point, but it rebuts the conception that 5 a defendant (sic) needs to be characterized as an official victim within 2B1.1, or had their information 6 used or experienced a financial loss in order for them 7 to be considered an official victim. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Abbas? 10 11 ATTORNEY ABBAS: US v Hunter is narrower than that. US v Hunter really --12 13 THE COURT: Come to the podium, please. 14 ATTORNEY ABBAS: US v Hunter presents the 15 situation where individuals are filing complaints 16 against government official in retaliation for perceived 17 wrongs or other grievances, but they are taking an action that triggers a legal process that the government 18 19 official is then subjected to. 20 There -- it's an abuse of process, 21 essentially, claim that is creating the official victim, 22 whereas here -- and this is not to minimize the -- this 23 Court can take into account the fact that Mr. Akhter 24 looked up the passport information that regarded the 25 government official in other ways than this sentencing

1 enhancement. 2 But the fact is that an official victim 3 needs to qualify as a victim. And here, because the 4 passport information was obtained, US v Hunter doesn't 5 indicate that that's enough for, for -- to create a victim in this section. 6 THE COURT: All right. I am going to look 7 at this case briefly. But I think, Mr. Taddie, 8 9 modestly, the case doesn't resolve the question, does 10 it? 11 ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor. 12 doesn't squarely -- it doesn't squarely resolve the 13 question. 14 THE COURT: So what do you think the case 15 really does? 16 ATTORNEY TADDEI: I think what the case does 17 is it indicates that "official victim" is broader than a 18 victim that would fall under 2B1.1. If someone is being 19 targeted for legal process in retaliation for their 20 official duties, that's not a victim under 2B1.1. They 21 don't experience financial loss and there is no use of 22 their personal information. 23 In this circumstance it's very similar. You 24 have an agent who is targeted for his role in this 25 investigation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
And one point of clarification on the facts.
This wasn't just viewing his information on the
computer. Mr. Akhter actually removed it from those
computers. He brought it home. He showed it to his
coconspirators. And that's how Mr. Akhter's brother had
it, to threaten to sell it on the Darknet. So it goes
well beyond just merely viewing this.
            THE COURT: All right. Just a moment.
            (Pause.)
            THE COURT: Did you say 555 Federal Appendix
5?
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: 554 Federal Appendix 5.
            THE COURT: All right. Let me get that and
look at it.
            Let's come back to that.
            What's the next one, Mr. Abbas?
            ATTORNEY ABBAS: I think we are circling
back to acceptance of responsibility.
            THE COURT: All right. Anything other than
acceptance of responsibility?
            ATTORNEY ABBAS: If it's -- if it's all
right with the Court, I would like to make one
additional comment.
            THE COURT: Yes, you may.
            ATTORNEY ABBAS: So I think when you are
```

```
1
       looking at US v Hunter, Mr. Taddei's comments bring out,
2
       I think, an important point, that the offense of
 3
       conviction matters.
 4
                   So under the victim-related adjustment that
 5
       applies to official victims, it matters that the, quote,
       "the offense of conviction was motivated by such
 6
       status."
 7
                   The offense of conviction here is not that
 8
 9
       Mr. Akhter looked up a government agent's passport
10
       information. The unauthorized access requires the
       government to show some other -- that that access was
11
12
       done in furtherance of some other lawful or tortious
13
       conduct.
14
                   In this case, one of the distinguishing
15
       characteristics between this situation and US v Hunter
16
       is that the offense of conviction, there are -- the
17
       Privacy Act makes it a misdemeanor to look up people's
       passport information, but the crime -- the offense of
18
19
       conviction in this situation is not tied to the official
20
       identity of --
21
                   THE COURT: Why did they --
22
                   (Simultaneous speaking.)
23
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: -- government official.
24
                   THE COURT: -- look him up?
25
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: I'm sorry, your Honor?
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: Why did they look him up?
 2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: They looked him up
 3
       because --
 4
                   THE COURT: He was investigating the offense
 5
       of conviction.
 6
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. But the
 7
       reason that he was looking up the -- but the act of him
       looking up the passport information of the government
 8
 9
       agent is not, by itself, sufficient to sustain the
10
       counts. It's the aggregation of the criminal conduct
11
       that allows the government to sustain counting.
                   THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
13
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, if I may?
14
                   THE COURT: Briefly.
15
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Specifically on pages 10
16
       and 11, I believe is where the most operative language
17
       was, based on the citation in my memo.
18
                   THE COURT: I don't have the luxury of page
19
       numbers on this, but the sentencing challenges begin
20
       under Roman III.
21
                   (Pause.)
22
                   THE COURT: All right. I have read the
23
       appropriate portion, and I think, Mr. Taddie, you have
24
       accurately characterized the case as really only making
25
       clear the difference between Chapter 2 and Chapter 3.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
There, they applied the Chapter 3
enhancement because there were 1,400 complaints sent to
the inspector general for -- or falsely accusing IRS
employees of misconduct. And that, in the court's view,
was sufficient to qualify the status of victims for
these people, right?
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor.
            THE COURT: False claims of misconduct. All
right.
            (Pause.)
            THE COURT: So really what it comes down to,
simply put, is the defense argues that simply accessing
the agent's passport information, which would include
his name, his address and where he traveled and that
sort of thing --
            Is that right?
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, I think that
would be their assertion. But our assertion is that it
wasn't only accessed. They actually removed it and took
it home.
            THE COURT: Yes, all right. So they
actually had it. They had the information, copied it
and took it home.
            (Continuing) -- that in the defendant's view
he is not a victim because nothing happened as a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consequence of that. That's essentially what the defendant is arguing. He didn't suffer any monetary There were no -- there were no investigations or false accusations. And your view is that he is an official victim just by having his information copied and taken home. ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, that is our position, your Honor. THE COURT: And there is no real authority in this regard for this -- I'm not sure it would make any difference to my sentencing decision. I know quite clearly that if I were to ask the agent whether he thought he was a victim, I don't have any doubt what he would say. He would say, "Of course I'm a victim." He wouldn't want people accessing his information. And there is material in the record indicating that the conspirators talked about what they might use the information for. They might sell it or they might otherwise use it. I don't know. It's a little ambiguous whether they thought they might be able to use it to coerce any activity or

not. I don't see that as any possibility, and I don't

rest my decision on it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I do think that Chapter 2 and Chapter 3 have different purposes, different objectives, and I do think you can be an official victim without having had any monetary loss, without having had a complaint made against you, as occurred in the case I looked at. You can be an official victim, as this individual was, because they accessed his information and took it away. How they would use it or whether they would gain any money from it or anything else, I think is not required for this person to have been an official victim. So I will overrule the objection and the three level enhancement will continue. Now that brings us back to acceptance of responsibility. This is the same issue I just had with respect to his brother, Muneeb. And unless you can tell me something different, I think the case is stronger for acceptance for this defendant than it was for his twin brother, who engaged in some nefarious conduct while he was incarcerated. This defendant didn't. So I am inclined to grant this defendant acceptance of responsibility, unless you can give me something new. ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor. We would defer to the Court on that determination.

```
1
                   THE COURT: All right.
 2
                   So now what we have is the following --
 3
                   I think I have ruled on all the objections,
 4
       haven't I, Mr. Abbas?
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes --
 5
 6
                   THE COURT: And I'm not going to use --
 7
                   (Simultaneous speaking.)
 8
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: -- government --
 9
                   THE COURT: Sophisticated means.
10
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: That's the remaining --
11
                   THE COURT: You were here, weren't you?
12
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: I was here, your Honor.
13
                   THE COURT: All right. Same ruling for the
14
       same reasons.
15
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Thank you.
16
                   THE COURT: But now what we have is on
17
       Count 1, really Counts 1 and 2 is 6 base offense level,
18
       plus 6 for the loss of approximately $31,375. That's 5;
19
       plus 50 or more victims, which I have ruled on, is plus
20
       4; plus, there is a 2-level enhancement for -- under
21
       2B1.1(b)(17), that's for intent to obtain personal
22
       information, and so the -- and this defendant gets a
23
       2-level enhancement for obstruction. This is the wiping
24
       of the computers.
25
                   So that takes him to an adjusted offense
```

```
1
       level of 20.
 2
                   Then for Count 8, there is a base offense
 3
       level of 6, and he gets 2 levels for the intent to
 4
       obtain personal information, and plus 2 for computer
 5
       system used by a government entity -- I ruled on that --
       in furtherance of the administration of justice; and a
 6
 7
       plus 3 enhancement because the victim was a government
       officer.
 8
 9
                   And adjustment for role in the offense, he
10
       doesn't get. Am I correct about that?
11
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: I'm sorry, your Honor.
12
       Which portion was that? I apologize.
13
                   THE COURT: I'm talking about Count 8 for
14
       this defendant.
15
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes.
16
                   THE COURT: Was he an organizer, leader or
17
       manager?
                   Yes, he was. There is a plus 2 for that.
18
19
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor.
20
                   THE COURT: So that's a 15 total. And,
21
       therefore, he gets a combined adjusted offense level of
22
       21, with minus 3 for acceptance, for a total offense
23
       level of 18, and a guideline range of 27 to 33 months.
24
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, just -- again
25
       just for purposes of the record, we would move for the
```

```
1
       additional point --
 2
                   THE COURT: Yes.
3
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: -- under the acceptance of
 4
       responsibility.
 5
                   THE COURT: And that's granted and that's
       obligated under the plea agreement. And that's taken
 6
 7
       into account in the numbers that I have just recited.
 8
                   So now we proceed to allocution.
 9
                   I otherwise adopt the findings and
10
       conclusions of the presentence report as the findings
11
       and conclusions in this case, except to the extent that
12
       I ruled otherwise. And the same is true as I did in the
13
       other -- in his brother's sentencing.
14
                   Now, Mr. Sohaib Akhter, this is now your
15
       opportunity to address the Court and to say anything at
16
       all you wish to the Court by way of extenuation,
17
       mitigation or, indeed, anything at all you think the
18
       Court should know before sentence is imposed. You don't
19
       have to address the Court, but you have the opportunity
20
       to do so if you wish to.
21
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I would like to address
22
       the Court, please.
23
                   THE COURT: All right, sir. Speak up,
24
       please, if you would.
25
```

1	ALLOCUTION BY THE DEFENDANT
2	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I am extremely
3	humbled and grateful for the opportunity you have given
4	me to address the Court.
5	I would like to give you a full
6	representation of who I am, through my words, as an
7	individual as to help you in determining a sentence for
8	me.
9	THE COURT: Yes.
10	THE DEFENDANT: This is
11	THE COURT: Let me if you don't mind, I
12	will interrupt you for a moment to say that your counsel
13	delivered, today, a ream of information, which I have
14	now reviewed. There are many letters in there that I
15	will make a part of the presentence report.
16	I am particularly if you want to address
17	it, I was impressed by a letter from a woman whose
18	family you aided and supported, to some extent, a woman
19	with three children
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: Let me find that again.
22	THE DEFENDANT: Terri Haynes, right?
23	THE COURT: I beg your pardon?
24	THE DEFENDANT: The name is Terri Haynes,
25	right?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Yes, Terri Haynes. You might want to address that, too. What did you do for them? THE DEFENDANT: So, when I was in Richmond I was away from my family who a while, and I was at Virginia Commonwealth University doing my studies over there. But I engaged in a lot of community ventures, like volunteer for Carter Elementary School, or help out with the MSA, you know, project downtown, where there was a lot of homeless people. So I would go out every Friday and deliver lunch to these people, and I would sit down and talk to them and figure out what their story was. I was also a ride share for one of my friends, Tamor Mahmoud (phonetics), whose letter is also submitted to you. I would take him up to Northern

Virginia. I would come on the weekends to, you know, stay with my family, get some food for the week, because I don't know how to cook that well.

And in doing so, there were certain days where I would just -- my friend doesn't want to go back, so I would go back, I would look on craigslist for places -- or people who wanted a ride somewhere.

And I also checked out the volunteer

```
1
       section, and I found this lady who was asking for help.
 2
       So I decided to go and help.
 3
                   THE COURT: And what did you do for her?
                   THE DEFENDANT: So at that point it was
 4
 5
       nearing Christmastime and she wanted -- she needed some
 6
       money to keep the lights on and the electric bill and
       things like that, as well as she wanted money to buy
 7
       presents for her kids.
 8
 9
                   So I went out and met her -- it was
10
       Chesterfield. It was about a 20-minutes drive from
11
       where I was. And I took her to the local Walmart. We
       bought presents. We bought food for that Christmas.
12
13
                   And then I got engaged with her and her boys
14
       and talked to them online and through phone calls.
15
       then every now and then she would like -- she didn't
16
       have the money for gas, and I would give it to her.
17
                   So that's basically --
18
                   THE COURT: What was your relationship?
19
       Just friendship and generosity?
20
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, just friendship and
21
       generosity.
22
                   THE COURT: There was no romantic
23
       relationship.
24
                   THE DEFENDANT: No, there wasn't.
25
                   THE COURT: All right.
```

1 THE DEFENDANT: There were a number of other 2 homeless people that I helped, but I couldn't get their 3 contact information to be able to provide you a reference from them. 4 5 But I -- my conduct while I was in VCU, while I was in Richmond, was primarily -- you know, I 6 7 wanted to help people. I was a biomedical engineer. I initially went there to be a medical doctor. But I 8 9 didn't have the funds to do so, and I didn't want to burden my family, so I resorted to doing a master's in 10 11 biomedical engineering. I also helped -- like one of my projects, my 12 13 thesis was to help blind people. So I would go to the 14 Rehabilitation Center for Blind and Visually Impaired 15 that's in Richmond, and take the people for subject 16 tests, IRV-approved tests. And some of them would feel 17 lonely. I would give them company. 18 And Tamor's letter explains one of those 19 circumstances. 20 And I helped with many school activities, as 21 well, like scholastic tournaments. 22 So this is prior to, you know, me getting 23 involved with my brother in the company that we started. 24 So, I mean, I am extremely disappointed to 25 say, this case is very disappointing to me, because I

really wanted to work with the government.

And our company, after my brother won his contract, his DARPA contract, for \$190,000, we really wanted to, you know -- we saw that as a path forward for our inventive ideas, to see what problems the government's have with their soliciting on their websites and what, you know, we could help with.

So there are certain contracts that we -- I applied for eight contracts. I give you have an example of two of them. One of them was for the Army. They wanted a system on a chip to kind of analyze soldier's vitals while he was in the field and report that; but also energy scavenge from when he is moving around, to get the energy to power the device.

So I proposed a voltage-gated system on a chip design to them.

I also proposed a pneumatic load-bearing suit for people for DARPA, DARPA -- but it shows my commitment to our serving men and women, and that I am a patriot.

So, between -- after I submitted these contracts, we had business relations with other people.

A number of these business relationships went really well, and they would praise our work, with the exception of Victim Company 2, that my brother worked for and he

1 had unauthorized access to that computer well 2 afterwards. 3 But after that point, we didn't get any money, we didn't get any contracts from the government. 4 5 So we decided to look for jobs. And in the meantime, my brother got access 6 7 to credit card information, and I -- we lost track of 8 what was right and wrong. 9 We sincerely apologize to anyone that we 10 have hurt, and repay our victims their full measure. 11 But that is -- you know, it was an 12 unfortunate circumstance with the three of us, and we 13 completely lost track of what was right and wrong. 14 So then after that, we were investigated the 15 first time, July 24th is when the first raid happen. 16 After that, I was extremely, you know, sensitive. 17 I was going to go back down to VCU to 18 complete the PhD program with the biophysics department, 19 and I was -- I was being hired as a design engineer to 20 work with Dr. Jason Reid in the biophysics department on 21 his vertical scanning interferometer design and his 22 atomic force -- high-speed atomic force microscope 23 design, but he was paying me as a student, not as an 24 engineer. 25 So we had an squabble, and he decided to go

```
1
       with someone else and withdraw his offer.
 2
                   So, I tried to sell the car that I was using
 3
       to go down to Richmond, my Honda Civic hybrid, and I met
 4
       the head of business unit at -- for ActionNet -- and he
 5
       saw me as an honest person and offered me the job for
 6
       Department of State.
 7
                   I loved my job at the Department of State.
 8
       I loved my coworkers. They were great people. And I
 9
       did -- I did amazing work for them. I loved the
10
       customers that came to me, the visa customers. I took
11
       every case with fervor and with the attention, if
12
       something is happening, that I want to give it my full,
13
       you know, effort to solve those problems.
14
                   There is a reference check form from Richard
15
       Nago (phonetics) where I have highlighted the relevant
16
       portions, if you would like to take a look at that.
17
                   THE COURT: All right. Give it to your
18
       counsel.
19
                   Have you seen it, Mr. Abbas?
20
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. You have
21
       a company of it as well.
22
                   THE COURT: All right.
23
                   Go on.
24
                   THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So --
25
                   THE COURT: Well, let's be sure I have.
```

```
1
       Give it to the court security officer.
 2
                   (Document tendered.)
 3
                   THE COURT: Yes, I think I have seen this.
 4
                   Go on.
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So I worked there for
       a period of six months, and during those six months I
 6
       had no problems. I was working on the visa system. But
 7
       towards the end of my tenure there, I was being promoted
 8
 9
       to a database developer.
10
                   I knew the developers worked with LockBox,
11
       and the manager that I would be under -- Sessimal
       (phonetics), the lady -- Sessimal is her original name,
12
13
       but she goes by that at ActionNet. And she was working
14
       with LockBox. So this was who I would be working for.
15
                   She asked me to look at LockBox. So I went
16
       onto the system on my own machine, on my designated work
17
       station, government workstation. I logged in to the CCD
18
       site access with my username and my password, and I --
19
       there was a search form there for a name.
20
                   So I typed in my name, Sohaib Akhter, just
21
       to see, you know, my passport record, see what happens.
22
       I was very curious. I did not read any the warning
23
       signs that were there. I should have put them into
24
       bigger consideration.
25
                   But I just typed in my name. I saw my
```

passport records. And then I typed up my friend's name.

I saw their passport records. I thought it was interesting.

So I shared it with them, and then they gave me suggestions for people to look up. I should have, you know, stopped that at that point. But I would work there overnight. I would, you know, there are certain times when you don't have that many tickets to work on, so I would just browse these people, and one of them was the secret agent that was involved.

And then towards the last month there, when I was -- I was supposed -- I was switching to my database developer position. I would be moving from the secure location, the cleared facility, where I obtained, you know, an interim secret clearance, I would be moving from there to another location in Tysons Corner, so I would lose access to my computer.

And Ishak and my brother convinced me that there was -- and of course I take full responsibility for organizing it -- that, you know, retaining access to that system could be useful to us. So I devised the idea of using one of the tools that I had at home, the Gumstix device, to retain access to that system.

I didn't want it for any financial means or anything like that. I simply wanted access to my tools

and it could be useful in some manner.

Towards that end, I want to kind of talk about -- a little bit about the count, the Count 8. I think we had a talk about it at the plea hearing. We talked about it being, you know -- it says that unauthorized access to a government computer -- or exceeded authorized access to a government computer for purposes of financial gain, and that I obtained something of \$5,000 worth or more.

So with regards to that, you know, we had a talk -- discussion about that during the plea hearing.

And I said that, you know, it was for -- I agreed to plead guilty. You said that, you know you don't have -- don't plead something to something you didn't do.

So I said, "I plead guilty to that," but it be based on the thing -- based on the statement that I made to GS agents that I was looking for contract opportunities. I go above and beyond my duties to see where I could, you know, understand the system for contracts and propose contracts.

Because in terms of a financial -- in terms of a financial motive, that was my only financial motive for doing what I did.

And then -- and we talked about -- you said you didn't completely, you know, agree with me on that.

1 My lawyer stepped up and said, you know: 2 These guys were doing a lot of things for contracting, 3 and that that contracts was a big, you know, reason for why they did a lot of what did they. 4 5 And you -- and then John Taddie stood up, the state's attorney stood up and said that there was 6 7 three ways we could have, you know, fulfilled the statute, because there was a misdemeanor statute as 8 There is the criminal statute, but there is a 9 10 misdemeanor statute if it wasn't financial --11 THE COURT: Misdemeanors are crimes, also. 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I know. But for the 13 financial -- if the financial portion was out of it, 14 that it was a misdemeanor. But they put the financial 15 portion in there. 16 And he said that there was three ways that 17 the financial portion could have been assessed. It was, 18 one, if I intended to sell any of the passports; two, 19 for criminal and tortious conduct; or three, that I 20 intended to use it for government contracts. 21 And then you said, you know: Is it 22 acceptable? 23 And the state's attorney said: Yes, this is 24 one of the ways that the government -- or the statute 25 would have been proved.

1 So I urge that, you know, the consideration 2 for that statute be placed that I was looking for a 3 contract. I would just like to talk a little bit about 4 5 my postarrest conduct. I have now obtained employment with Thorlabs -- they are a company that through --6 7 (inaudible) -- is the staffing contract to Thorlabs --8 as a software engineer. 9 They initially interviewed me before the 10 indictment. And after the indictment came out there was 11 a press release saying that I was looking at 39 years. 12 So they withdrew the offer and decided to go with 13 someone else. 14 But then after that person wasn't able to 15 perform his duties, they contacted me and said: We 16 would like to give you a chance. 17 So they have given me a chance to work at 18 their facility, and I am doing good work there. And I 19 have a letter from my job, the director of software. I 20 believe you might also have this, but I would like to 21 present it to you. 22 THE COURT: All right. I think your counsel 23 has already presented it. 24 Do they know about your conviction? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, they know. But I

```
talked to them extensively about it, and about what I
 1
2
       could receive and, you know, the possibilities, so that
 3
       they are well aware.
 4
                   THE COURT: All right.
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: So that's the main thing.
                   Will my lawyer be able to put certain things
 6
 7
       up for consideration to the sentencing decision?
 8
                   THE COURT: Yes. Yes.
 9
                   THE DEFENDANT: Okay. So then the last
10
       thing I would like to say is that my job -- my dad is
11
       out of work as of 24th of September, so I am the sole
12
       income earner for the family, the sole provider for the
13
       family.
14
                   And I ask that you permit me to work for
15
       three months to finish restitution to victims. I have
16
       most of the money in my bank account that are dedicated
17
       to the -- to paying off restitution, but I still need
18
       some more. So it allows me to pay a restitution for the
19
       victims.
20
                   I would also like to be able to provide for
21
       my family while my father is looking for another job.
22
                   THE COURT: Your father has a PhD, doesn't
23
       he?
24
                   THE DEFENDANT: He has a PhD, but he has
25
       been without of the work force for 20 years, so -- or
```

```
1
       17, 15 -- 15 to 17 years. So -- and in Saudi Arabia.
 2
                   THE COURT: He was working in Saudi Arabia,
3
       wasn't he?
 4
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes. But he was working in
 5
       middle management, and they were cutting middle
       management because Alcatel-Lucent got bought out by
 6
 7
       Nokia.
                   THE COURT: He hasn't been out of work for
 8
 9
       17 years, has he?
10
                   THE DEFENDANT: He hasn't, no. It's just
11
       that he has been in one position, very focused. It will
12
       take him time to get a new position. This give me the
13
       opportunity to provide for my family while that is
14
       happening.
15
                   I would also like to fulfill my contract
16
       with Thorlabs, so they can see that I am a productive
17
       employee, and that after a term of confinement I may be
18
       able to return to the job.
19
                   I would like to thank you for listening.
20
                   THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
21
                   THE DEFENDANT: (Complies.)
22
                   THE COURT: Mr. Taddie, explain if you will,
23
       please -- and I will give Mr. Abbas an opportunity --
24
       what did you understand Mr. Akhter to say with respect
25
       to Count 8.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ATTORNEY TADDEI: I am not quite sure, to be honest, your Honor. Just looking at the statement of facts -- and I can't, you know, remember exactly every single thing that was said or discussed during the plea hearing.

What I do know is that he signed and swore on a statement of facts pleading quilty to conspiracy to commit -- excuse me -- conspiracy to commit an offense against the United States by unauthorized access to a government computer system.

And there are enhancements related to that statute based on three possibilities, one, if an offense is committed for the purposes of private or financial gain; two, if an offense is committed in violation of the laws of state or federal entity -- in this case we charge in pursuit of a violation of Virginia Code 18.2152.5, which is computer invasion of privacy; or, three, if the value of the information obtained exceeded \$5,000.

And that was charged by the grand jury in the conjunction, and the defendant indeed pled guilty to a statement of facts stating that, all in conjunction, as well as the indictment. So I am not exactly sure what he is doing now.

But it does, to me, bring up sort of a

somewhat troubling point, I think, in addition to some of the things the defendant said during his allocution, as to whether or not he fully embraces responsibility for his crimes.

He does talk about how with respect to the

He does talk about how, with respect to the State Department hack, that, "My brother and Ishak convinced me that this would be a good idea."

He talks about, you know, how he had the specific business-focus purpose. I am not exactly sure how that makes his conduct any better, even with his own framing.

There is also no denying that he had a discussion with Ishak as to whether or not he would be able to unilaterally approve passport and visa information, as well as the financial gain that could be attended -- attended from that by selling this information on the Darknet; whether or not the defendant -- and he now maintains that he never actually had intent to do that -- the mere factor that he considered it and it was debated is nonetheless extremely serious.

And I think one of the challenges

particularly in this case is there is no denying that

the defendant here has done some notable things with his

life --

```
1
                   THE COURT: Well, I am not asking for
       allocution yet. I am asking to understand what it is --
2
 3
       let me ask Mr. Abbas.
 4
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Maybe Mr. Abbas has a
 5
       better idea, because all I know is that the defendant
       pled guilty in the conjunction to those three
 6
 7
       predicates, your Honor, in the statement of facts.
                   THE COURT: What is it you understand your
 8
 9
       client to be saying today?
10
                   I understood that he is saying, he is not
11
       really quilty --
12
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor.
13
                   THE COURT: -- of Count 8.
14
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor. That is
15
       definitely not what Mr. Akhter's point was. Mr. Akhter
16
       is quilty of Count 8, and he articulated to this Court
17
       that he is guilty of Count 8.
18
                   What Mr. Akhter was saying was, his
19
       understanding of Count 8 is that it matters what purpose
20
       he had in the unauthorized access.
21
                   Like Mr. Taddie said, there are particular
22
       enhancements that attach to particular purposes of the
23
       unauthorized access. And what Mr. Akhter was saying and
24
       was offering the Court, clumsily but earnestly, was that
25
       the searching that he did, the installation of the
```

Gumstix device that he did, was with the mind that:

Perhaps some day these things that I am doing now are
going to be of benefit to the company that my brother
and I have been trying to stand up.

That's the point that Sohaib was trying to communicate, not as -- I think this is important -- he attached the letter to the PSR report. He wrote it. It was in his words. You can tell. It's not the case that Mr. Akhter is trying to excuse the conduct, but he is offering an earnest explanation for why he is in the situation he is in.

And his perspective -- and I think it's a correct perspective -- is that it matters why he did it. And in this case, yeah, there were -- there were comments about selling things on the Darknet. There is no -- Sohaib never went on the Darknet.

You are right -- Mr. Taddie is right that, so his articulation was Mosevik (phonetics) and Muneeb convinced him that this would be a good idea, but then the very next sentence is: Then I devised a plan to carry out the installation of the Gumstix device.

Sohaib's point is a credible one. In the grand scheme of conduct among the three coconspirators that engaged in largely the same conduct, it appears that Mosevik and Muneeb went steps beyond -- in some

cases went a few steps beyond the steps that Sohaib took.

But all of that is a very long way of saying that Sohaib's point was just to explain that -- and it confirms what the U.S. attorney's point was, that a lot of the explanation for this conduct wasn't purely financial.

No doubt that there is a financial benefit here, but especially for Sohaib specifically, you can see in the list of transactions that -- their plane tickets, their rental cars, their hotels, their electronic devices, and the vast majority of which accrue an exclusive benefit to a single person. In almost all the cases, that single person wasn't Sohaib. The single person was either Muneeb or Mosevik.

Sohaib is responsible for what he did.

There is no question about the fact that he is responsible. But -- and maybe we are getting into allocution here, but this is an exceptional defendant that is appearing before the Court, not just exceptionally because he is really smart and he graduated really early by taking a lot of credit hours, but he is exceptional morally.

You know, I think what was really surprising to the attorneys involved in this case was the

```
1
       reluctance of the Akhter twins, despite having every
2
       incentive in the world to share with us all the good
 3
       things that they have done in their lives, they don't
 4
       see it the way that we see it. This is just what they
 5
       did. This is who they are.
 6
                   And I think it warrants a second chance, and
 7
       I think -- I think now we are getting a little bit
       beside the point. So I want to reserve. I do have a
 8
 9
       few additional comments to make.
10
                   THE COURT: Oh, I am going to give you the
11
       opportunity.
12
                   But anyway, to sum up, you are making clear
13
       that he is not saying he is innocent of Count 8, that he
14
       was simply pointing out that there are various ways in
15
       which an offense under Count 8 might be aggravated, and
16
       that he didn't do it for financial gain.
17
                   Is that what you are saying?
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Well, so, he was looking
19
       for -- he was -- the things that he did were with the
20
       mind that it might allow him to get a contract in the
21
       future.
22
                   THE COURT:
                               In the future.
23
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: So that's it.
24
                   THE COURT: Mr. Taddie, is that your
25
       understanding?
```

1 ATTORNEY TADDEI: No, your Honor. 2 I think it's important that what the 3 statement of facts maintains is that we would have 4 proven these facts beyond a reasonable doubt at trial. 5 The government would have proven certain facts. And there are, indeed, three ways that an individual 6 7 receives an enhancement under Section 1030. I think it's important to clarify that the 8 9 defendant, in his statement of facts, did swear that the 10 government, had it proceeded to trial, would have proven 11 beyond a reasonable doubt that the offense was committed 12 for the purpose of private financial gain or commercial 13 advantage, that it was committed in furtherance of a 14 criminal or tortious act in violation of the laws of 15 Virginia -- computer invasion of privacy -- and that the 16 value of information obtained exceeded \$5,000. 17 Those were three things that he pled to in 18 this Court in a very lengthy and detailed proceeding, 19 that the government would have proved had this case 20 proceeded to trial. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 Anything further, Mr. Abbas? ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, that's not 23 24 inconsistent with what Sohaib's point was. Sohaib's 25 point concedes that there was a violation of the law

```
1
       that Mr. Taddie just reiterated. But Mr. Akhter is just
 2
       describing in specific factual detail what the content
 3
       of his intent was.
                   THE COURT: All right.
 4
 5
                   I'll hear allocution from you now.
 6
                   THE DEFENDANT: From me?
 7
                   THE COURT: I have heard your allocution,
       Mr. Akhter.
 8
 9
                   Do you have anything else you wish to say?
10
                   THE DEFENDANT: I just wanted to clarify --
11
       I wanted to clarify that point, because -- I wanted to
       say that I am not -- I wasn't doing it with the intent
12
       to sell passport and visa information. I was doing it
13
14
       with the intent to get a contract one day.
15
                   THE COURT: All right. You may be seated.
16
                   THE DEFENDANT: (Complies.)
17
                ALLOCUTION ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Mr. Akhter is a good person
19
       that has done bad things. He's a 23-year-old young man
20
       who has accomplished, as a 23-year-old, what many folks
21
       decades older can't.
22
                   When he was still a teenager -- it
23
       embarrasses me to think about what I was doing when I
24
       was that age. When he was still a teenager, he created
25
       a jacket to help the blind understand the objects that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

are around them. After being the youngest graduate of George Mason in 2011, able to do pretty much what he wanted, he chose to utilize his immense abilities to develop a device that would allow the blind to use computers in the way that he can use computers. He is good. He wants to use his talents to make meaningful, substantial contributions to this society. That is part of what this Court should take into account when it's imposing a sentence. At this point, the Court has imposed a sentence on all of the other coconspirators aside from Sohaib. So I do think that it is appropriate for this Court to consider Sohaib's conduct relative to the conduct of his coconspirators. THE COURT: Well, you can eliminate Ishak because he got a Section 5(k) reduction, didn't he? ATTORNEY ABBAS: (To Attorney Taddei) If you want --ATTORNEY TADDEI: Your Honor, I don't believe the government is willing to comment on that in from of open court at this time. I believe that matter is still under seal. THE COURT: All right. I think the sentence

I -- is the sentence under seal?

ATTORNEY TADDEI: I believe that it is, your 1 2 Honor, for some unknown reason. But we would be happy 3 to --4 THE COURT: Do you know what sentence he 5 received, Mr. Abbas? ATTORNEY ABBAS: There was an indication in 6 7 the draft report that he was sentenced to six months incarceration. 8 9 THE COURT: Yes, but that's after -- that's 10 a distinguishable situation from this. I will tell you 11 that much right now. 12 ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. 13 I want to make clear that that's not where 14 the brunt of this point is going to be made. 15 Judging Sohaib's conduct, I think it's 16 important to understand that, one, the financial benefit 17 that he gained from the credit card scheme that he did 18 participate in was smaller than what his equivalent 19 coconspirator benefited from. 20 The use of government computer systems was 21 without excuse, undoubtedly. But all the information 22 that he accessed is now securely and exclusively in 23 government hands. 24 Sohaib is committed to providing expedient 25 restitution to right the wrongs that he helped

perpetuate and intends on making a substantial restitution payment in the coming days.

But Sohaib deserves a chance, I believe, to

rebound from the serious mistakes that he has made.

This Court doesn't need to worry about deterring Sohaib

Akhter from this conduct again in the future.

From indictment forward, there aren't allegations that can Sohaib Akhter continued and persisted in the conduct that was illegal, that was troubling to the government, that was in any way, shape or form a violation of his bond conditions.

Instead, since indictment he has volunteered. He has worked with close friends on startups. He has obtained employment from a cutting edge optical equipment company, and he has sustained that behavior.

Sohaib is able to conform his conduct within the parameters of the law, and so the deterrent value of a prolonged period of incarceration against him won't -- would be of marginal value.

And the promise that he has as an individual -- his youth is a reason why the deterrent value that this Court could project out from a substantial sentence to Sohaib is outweighed by the detriment to Sohaib by that period of incarceration.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

While the guidelines reflect a sentence -sentencing range in years, we ask this Court respectfully to consider a period of home -- a prolonged period of home confinement that will allow Sohaib Akhter to continue to maintain his skills, support his family, and while making it very clear to him that this conduct is not to be repeated. In the alternative, a short period of incarceration followed by a prolong period of home confinement would be enough of a sentence, but not more than sufficient. Thank you. THE COURT: Mr. Taddie. ATTORNEY TADDEI: Thank you, your Honor. ALLOCUTION BY THE GOVERNMENT ATTORNEY TADDEI: The government asks for a sentence of 33 months of imprisonment in this case. we have been through, pretty much, all of the relevant details at this point, and I would incorporate much of what I said earlier at Muneeb Akhter's sentencing, because I also believe it applies to Sohaib Akhter, as well. But a few things I wanted to touch on in particular. First is something that Mr. Abbas says. What did this defendant choose to utilize his immense

abilities to do?

In the circumstances of this case and in the conduct that he pled guilty to, what he chose to use his immense abilities to do was, one, assist his brother and a coconspirator in stealing thousands of people's credit card information and using for that financial gain.

But honestly, more trouble from the government's perspective, as it specifically adheres to Sohaib Akhter, he was an employee who contracted and worked within the State Department, and he spent, you know, several months working there.

And a lot of this is particularly problematic when it's placed in context by a conversation that Mr. Akhter and his brother had back in June of 2014, when Muneeb Akhter started work at the Department of Homeland Security.

In that conversation, which is in the PSR and the statement of facts, the brothers discuss, you know, if you can get -- getting a job at a government facility -- which Muneeb Akhter had -- about lying in wait, about trying to figure out insider protection methods, and about using viruses or malware strains, and retooling them such that they can become a weapon.

And if you look at the pattern of conduct here -- and yes, that was a conversation, but I think

it's really indicative of a lot of what happened after this, in the defendant's behavior.

He then got a job at ActionNet. He spent some time there, and after a period of time he started looking up people's passport information, more than 60 people whose passport information he accessed, including, as we have been over in detail, the government agent who was investigating them.

And then he took that information and he removed it from the computer system, without real regard of what risks that might present.

Whether or not these defendants were going to use it personally -- a factor that they did discuss and did talk about -- there was no consideration of the idea that this information could fall into, potentially, someone else's hands, or that his brother might do something with it, as he was threatening to do.

There just appears to be no real respect for electronic boundaries, and for the sanctity of people's personal information.

And then what happens at the State

Department is you have an individual who quite clearly

plots to go in on a weekend, when no one else is around,

and has the temerity to drill a hole in a wall and take

an electronic device and try to put it and install it in

such a way that nobody would be able to find it, because he is getting transferred to another facility.

And, again, he has a conversation where he hypothesizes about things that can be done with it, how it could be done to access information, used to create and sell passport and visa data on the Darknet -- really, really troubling conversation and really troubling potential conduct.

And fortunately, in this circumstance, the government was able to discover this plot quickly enough. But we don't know what they would have done with this information had we not.

And that gets to, really, sort of the root of the problem. In addition to the great threat that this represents, the defendants in this case, and in particular Mr. Akhter here, appeared to have no respect for the risks that this behavior incorporated, and for the things that can be done with that sort of access.

And particularly at a point in time right now, your Honor, where hacking of corporations and particularly government computer systems is an increasing problem in society, a significant term of imprisonment is important, not just to deter this particular defendant, but to deter other defendants that might consider engaging in similar conduct, and conduct

that the government, quite frankly, may not be as lucky in figuring out in time before something truly serious happens in this case.

It was charged as a conspiracy here because the plan here was ultimately unsuccessful. But that doesn't diminish the seriousness of the conduct here, nor the need for a stiff sentence here.

And lastly, your Honor, as I mentioned for Mr. Muneeb Akhter, the defendant, as we have been through, has had many advantages in life. He's had a phenomenal education. He has a phenomenal family. He has been given basically everything a young man would desire in terms of education and job opportunities.

And he has chosen to utilize those immense abilities to steal, to hack into government computer systems, to remove people's personal information, and we ask that he be held accountable for those actions here today, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Abbas, you indicated you had a witness. Who is that witness and what do you proffer he would --

ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes. The witness was James Arcieri (phonetics), who is an executive at IBM, who also runs a startup called FitBud. He knows Sohaib from college, and --

1 THE COURT: Proffer what he would testify 2 to. 3 ATTORNEY ABBAS: He would testify that 4 Sohaib can, in fact, conform his conduct with the law, 5 and that he is able to utilize computers in a professional, lawful manner. 6 7 And James, as someone who has something to lose, both as a startup owner and as a business 8 9 executive, would have said that he is so sure of that, 10 that he is happy to give access to the code that is at 11 the core of his startup and let Sohaib have access to it 12 and work on it. 13 The reason we wanted to proffer that -- the 14 reason we wanted to have the Court hear that testimony 15 directly in person -- and I understand that that's not the typical route -- is because the government is 16 17 emphasizing and articulating Sohaib Akhter as a 18 dangerous ongoing threat to the US and to others, and 19 that's not the case. 20 There is no question that Sohaib Akhter 21 committed serious criminal wrongdoing. There is no 22 question of that. But Sohaib has already learned his 23 lessen. 24 Last year, before the raid, he was engaged 25 to be married. As a result of the raid and the criminal

1 process that was going to follow, that engagement was 2 broken off. 3 He will -- it's very clear and very earnest 4 that one of his bigger goals in life was to do work for the Federal Government. That's what he wanted to do 5 6 after college. He has lost that opportunity, probably for the rest of his life. 7 He has lost a lot already, which doesn't 8 9 mean that this Court doesn't need to punish him; but the 10 punishment should take into account all the things that he has already lost, as well as who he is how he can 11 12 contribute in the future. 13 And it is the case that Zone D offenders, 14 which is what Sohaib is, Zone D offenders, 12, 15 13 percent of the time are sentenced to some form of 16 alternative sentencing. 17 Whether that takes the form of a split 18 sentence that includes incarceration and home 19 confinement, or whether that includes just home 20 confinement, it is -- it is the case that courts across 21 the country have imposed alternative sentences in such 22 circumstances. 23 And so we respectfully request --24 THE COURT: Zone D? 25 ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.

```
1
                   THE COURT: All right. Where in the
 2
       quidelines does it say that in Zone D they recommend --
 3
       because it's not mandatory -- but where in Zone D is it
 4
       recommended that sentences can be split, in Zone D?
 5
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: So it's not in the
       Sentencing Guidelines. The -- it's -- the Sentencing
 6
 7
       Commission published a report in June 2015 --
                   THE COURT: That you attached here.
 8
 9
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
10
                   THE COURT: All right. But it doesn't say
11
       anything about Zone D, does it?
12
                   ATTORNEY ASSAS: Yes, your Honor.
                                                      It does.
                   THE COURT: All right. I've got it right
13
14
              Tell me what page it is.
       here.
15
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: It's page 19.
16
                   THE COURT: All right. And tell me, what
17
       does it say on page 19?
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Table 7 shows the median
19
       loss amount for fraud offenders sentenced to alternative
20
       was $391,461, compared to a median loss of $636,261 for
21
       those sentenced to imprisonment.
22
                   So this is -- and I am excerpting a part of
23
       the section that regards Zone D offenders, and
24
       discussing --
25
                   THE COURT: You realize Zone D goes up to
```

```
1
       life in prison.
 2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor, but it
 3
       starts -- it starts much lower, and --
 4
                   THE COURT:
                               There is nothing, nothing in the
 5
       guidelines, in the manual or anything else, that says
       that if the guidelines come out in Zone D, that --
 6
 7
       anywhere in Zone D, that it's authorized to split a
 8
       sentence.
 9
                   Let me ask the probation officer.
10
                   Are you aware of any such statement?
11
                   THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: If the Court issues a
12
13
       downward variance, there is -- there wouldn't be
14
       anything --
15
                   THE COURT: Oh, a downward variance, I quite
16
       agree with you. If you varied downward to Zone A or
17
       Zone B, that's a different matter; but not Zone C.
18
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: And so what the
19
       Sentencing --
20
                   THE COURT: Zone D.
21
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: -- what the Sentencing
22
       Commission report is, it's a statistical analysis of
23
       what courts have done with Zone D offenders.
24
                   And so we --
25
                   THE COURT: I asked --
```

1	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes.
2	THE COURT: I asked you whether there was
3	any statement authorizing it or even recommending it.
4	There isn't. It's just an analysis of data.
5	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. That's
6	what it is.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Anything further?
10	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Nothing from the
11	government, your Honor.
12	THE COURT: All right.
13	Do you have something, Mr. Akhter?
14	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Can I is it all right
15	that I confer.
16	THE COURT: Yes, you may confer with him.
17	ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, Mr. Akhter has
18	one more brief comment to make.
19	THE COURT: All right. I will hear from
20	him.
21	Come to the podium, Mr. Akhter.
22	FURTHER ALLOCUTION BY THE DEFENDANT
23	THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I would like to
24	talk about the number the different types of
25	sentences that could be imposed.

```
1
                   We are first-time offenders, nonviolent.
2
       wouldn't be any problem to the communities.
 3
                   I would request that I could be given some
 4
       time of imprisonment to, instead, community service.
 5
                   I would like to also be able to provide for
       my family -- I think we talked about that -- as well.
 6
 7
                   In terms of the alternative sentences, the
       Commission, US Sentencing Commission says that: The
 8
 9
       proportion of federal offenders sentenced to
10
       alternatives remain low and decreased slightly during
11
       the prior decade.
12
                   They are trying to say that, you know, there
13
       should be a higher number of people, you know, within
14
       reason, given alternative sentences.
15
                   Contrary to the -- on page three it says,
16
       "Any sentence of probation or any split sentence as a
17
       substitute for imprisonment for offenders whose
18
       sentencing ranges are in Zone D, necessarily constitutes
19
       a downward departure and variance," which we are
20
       requesting at this time.
                   Of course, it's not, you know, incumbent on
21
22
       the Court to say that -- give a downwards variance, but
23
       we are requesting it.
24
                   On Table 7 it says -- what is it called --
25
       offenders with sentences in Range D, the table that it
```

```
1
       gives, it says the alternative is imposed and an average
2
       offense level of 19. The average offense level -- the
 3
       final offense level in our case is 18. And it says that
 4
       the median loss amount is 391,000.
 5
                   The loss amount in our case is less than ten
 6
       percent of that, and we wish to repay it in full. So I
 7
       request that, humbly, that the Court takes into
       consideration an alternative sentence, please.
 8
 9
                   Thank you.
10
                   THE COURT: All right.
11
                   Mr. Taddie?
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Nothing further from the
12
13
       government, your Honor?
14
                   THE COURT: Do you know what table he is
15
       referring to?
16
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: I don't, your Honor, but I
17
       would point you to 5C1.1, Subsection (f) --
18
                   THE COURT: Yes.
19
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: -- which states, "If the
20
       applicable guidelines range is in Zone D of the
21
       sentencing table, the minimum term shall be satisfied by
22
       a sentence of imprisonment."
23
                   Thank you.
24
                   THE COURT: Just so I am sure what it is he
25
       is looking at, Mr. Abbas, show that to -- show what that
```

```
1
       is to Mr. Taddie, and give it to the court security
 2
       officer. I am sure I have seen it --
 3
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
                   THE COURT: No, you stay there.
 4
 5
                   THE DEFENDANT: I have highlighted it.
                   (Document tendered.)
 6
 7
                   THE COURT: This is part of what you
       submitted earlier, isn't it --
 8
 9
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
10
                   THE COURT: -- Mr. Abbas?
                   I'll give you a moment to read that,
11
12
       Mr. Taddie. I'll take a five-minute recess and come
13
       back and impose sentence after I have reread this. I am
14
       sure I have read it before.
15
                   (Court recessed.)
16
                   (Court called to order at 4:20 p.m.)
17
                   THE COURT: Well, I have read this for about
18
       the second or third time and it is, as I am not
19
       surprised to say, misunderstood by you, Mr. Akhter.
20
       am sure Mr. Abbas understands it.
21
                   What you focus on is the statement, "Any
22
       sentence of probation or any split sentence as a
23
       substitute for imprisonment for offenders whose
24
       sentencing ranges are in Zone D necessarily constitutes
25
       a downward departure or variance."
```

1 That's imperfectly stated. "necessarily constitutes"; it's "necessarily requires a 2 3 downward departure." 4 It needs -- you have a downward departure or 5 a variance down to that area where a split sentence is 6 possible. In other words, if you impose a sentence of 7 120 months, you can't say: Okay, 119 months in probation and 1 month of incarceration. That is 8 9 illegal. It's impossible. Can't be done. 10 You would have to vary or depart downward 11 into an area of Zone A or Zone B where split sentences are permitted. That's what this means. 12 13 Now, there are no departures warranted in 14 this case. There is no valid ground for a departure 15 that has been raised or that occurs to the Court. 16 Variance are also -- I mean are always 17 possible. Variance are always within the Court's 18 discretion under 3553(a). 19 Then the question arises, I take -- I think 20 the best way to take Mr. Akhter's statement and 21 Mr. Abbas's argument for home confinement is that I 22 should vary down to a sentence in that area. And I will 23 address that now at sentencing. 24 Do you see it any differently, Mr. Taddie? 25 ATTORNEY TADDEI: Not at all, your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Mr. Abbas?
2	ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right.
4	Mr. Akhter, come to the podium.
5	THE DEFENDANT: (Complies.)
6	IMPOSITION OF SENTENCE BY THE COURT
7	THE COURT: Mr. Akhter, you stand convicted
8	of three serious crimes by virtue of your plea, and the
9	law requires that I consider a variety of factors under
10	3553(a) in order to impose a sentence. And that
11	sentence should be a sentence that is not greater than
12	necessary to achieve the goals that are stated in
13	3553(a).
14	And I must say you've had adequate
14 15	And I must say you've had adequate opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a
15	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a
15 16	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of
15 16 17	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair,
15 16 17 18	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been
15 16 17 18 19	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been submitted.
15 16 17 18 19 20	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been submitted. ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been submitted. ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: It was a duplicate submission.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been submitted. ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: It was a duplicate submission. And part of it was this matter that I just addressed
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	opportunity to allocute. Your counsel has submitted a great deal of information, including a ream of information this morning although to be fair, Mr. Abbas, a good bit of that had already been submitted. ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: It was a duplicate submission. And part of it was this matter that I just addressed from the article by Courtney Semitch (phonetics), senior

1 accurately stated by him. 2 But it's -- it's a point that's important to 3 be made and it's important for me to consider. And this sentencing has taken a long time 4 5 because there is a great deal to consider, and I think it is appropriate. 6 7 Well, the first question -- or first factor for the Court to consider in imposing an appropriate 8 9 sentence is your personal history and characteristics. 10 I think I am reasonably familiar with all of 11 You come from a good family, a strong family. You come from a family of achiever. Your father has a 12 13 PhD, mother has several degrees, I think in biology or 14 something close to that. You have an achieving brother 15 and sister, and your twin is a person who has achieved a 16 good deal, as you have. You all are talented people. 17 Now, I tell all defendants -- you heard me 18 say this to your brother -- that life is making choices 19 and living with the consequences. You don't determine 20 where you are born. You don't determine to whom you are 21 born. You don't determine what talents or what 22 handicaps you are born with. But you determine in all 23 respects how you respond to all of that. 24 You were fortunate in many respects, in

where you were born, to whom you were born, whether you

25

1 were born with handicaps or talents. 2 You made bad decisions, criminal decisions, 3 and for that there will consequences today. The law requires that I take into account 4 5 the nature and circumstances of the offense, how serious it was. 6 7 It was very serious. Yes, it only amounted to \$31,000 or thereabouts in pecuniary loss to victims. 8 9 But the kind of activity you engaged in is quite 10 serious, and I have taken that into account. Next, the law requires that I impose a 11 12 sentence that promotes respect for the law, that 13 provides just punishment, and that deters you and deters 14 others. 15 I am convinced that neither you nor your 16 brother are highly likely to commit criminal conduct in 17 the future. But you both still need to be deterred somewhat. You need to know that there are bad 18 19 consequences for criminal decisions. 20 But that's not really drives your sentence. 21 What drives your sentence is the fact that there needs 22 to be just punishment for these offenses, and that others who commit similar offenses have to be deterred 23

Your counsel also raises the need to impose

24

25

by what I do.

a sentence that does not involve unwarranted disparities between the sentence I impose on you and the sentence imposed on others convicted of essentially similar conduct.

And I am aware of Mr. Ishak's sentence and I take that into account. But that's distinguishable

take that into account. But that's distinguishable circumstances, because I think there was a 5(k). It may have been under seal, but that needs to come out.

In any event, the law also requires, as I said, that I impose a sentence that's not greater than necessary to accomplish all of this.

Now, your counsel has asked for a sentence of home confinement. I don't agree. Home confinement is not punishment. Sitting him with a clicker, TV set and a beer is not punishment, and punishment needs to be meted out here for this conduct.

You have argued, and your counsel indirectly argued -- you both argued -- for an opportunity to -- I think you said you wanted to work for two or three months to pay back the restitution.

Well, there will be a period of time. I am going to permit you to surrender voluntarily, and I may well give you that time. It won't be any longer, I can guarantee you.

In the end, a judgment has to be made,

```
1
       Mr. Akhter, as to what sentence is appropriate for this
2
       conduct. I don't think that, yet, you see the conduct
 3
       as seriously as I think it is. I truly don't think you
 4
       see it as seriously -- as serious as I think that
 5
       conduct really is. It is very serious conduct.
 6
                   And the guidelines reflect that. Your
 7
       quidelines, indeed, are -- and I should take those into
       account -- your guidelines are --
 8
 9
                   He is at a level what now, Mr. Abbas?
10
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Eighteen? Am I correct?
11
                   THE COURT: Eighteen.
12
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That's correct, your
13
       Honor.
14
                   THE COURT: 27 to 33; and the government has
15
       asked for a sentence of 33 months, given those
16
       quidelines.
17
                   Well, the government came in here today
18
       thinking the guidelines were going to be higher than
19
       that.
20
                   Am I correct, Mr. Taddei?
21
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: That's correct, your
22
       Honor. That is also reflected in our initial
23
       recommendation.
24
                   THE COURT: That is, that it would be
25
       greater than 33.
```

1	ATTORNEY TADDEI: Yes, your Honor.
2	THE COURT: I understand that. The
3	government views it as serious.
4	But I take into account your a lot of
5	your personal history and characteristics. I was
6	impressed with what you've done charitable, what you
7	have done with some resources and your time and how you
8	have helped people. I think I take that into
9	account.
10	Ultimately, I have to make a judgment as to
11	an appropriate sentence.
12	And it is the Court's judgment that you be
13	committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for a
14	period of 24 months.
15	And upon release from confinement, you are
16	to serve three years of supervised release.
17	And the 24 months is for all three counts.
18	It's to run concurrently for each count.
19	And similarly, the period of supervised
20	release is for each count, three years, but those terms
21	are to run concurrently, as are the terms of
22	incarceration.
23	I'll permit you to surrender voluntarily.
24	And I'll put the surrender date Ms. Riffle, let's
25	make it a hundred days from today. He's got a hundred

```
1
       days to get everything done.
 2
                   THE PROBATION OFFICER: Yes, your Honor.
 3
                   THE COURT: And I will impose restitution in
 4
       the amount of the 31,000.
 5
                   Do you have the order there, Mr. Taddie?
 6
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: We do, your Honor.
 7
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes.
                   THE COURT: All right. Hand that order up.
 8
 9
                   And there should be a forfeiture order as
10
       well.
11
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Let's just confirm that --
                   THE COURT: And a $100 special assessment
12
13
       for each count, for a total of $300.
14
                   I don't impose any punitive fine to cover
15
       the costs of incarceration or supervised release, or any
16
       punitive fine at all in view of your significant
17
       restitution obligation.
18
                   It gives me no pleasure to impose sentences
19
       on any defendant. I don't like doing it. It's not the
20
       most pleasant part of this job. Indeed --
21
                   THE DEFENDANT: Can I say something very
22
       briefly about --
23
                   THE COURT:
                               Speak up.
24
                   THE DEFENDANT: Can I say something very
25
       briefly --
```

```
1
                   THE COURT:
                               Yes, you can.
2
                   THE DEFENDANT: -- about community service?
 3
                   THE COURT: Oh, I am going to put that on
 4
       there, but it's not going to be a substitute for
 5
       anything.
 6
                   THE DEFENDANT:
                                   Okay.
 7
                   THE COURT: I am going to require that you
       do 60 hours of community service as a condition of your
 8
 9
       supervised release.
10
                   Now what I want that community service
11
       focused on is I want you to write an article or
       articles, to be posted on the Internet, describing your
12
13
       personal experience and as a warning to people not to
14
       engage in the conduct you engaged in.
15
                   I also -- you can perform that community
16
       service by appearing at high schools or colleges and
17
       telling people about your personal experience, and
18
       warning them against the kind of conduct you engaged in.
19
                   Now, did you want to say anything else?
20
                   You were thinking you were going to
21
       substitute community service for the incarceration. No,
22
       sir.
23
                   I think, Mr. Akhter, you still don't grasp
24
       how serious this conduct was.
25
                   THE DEFENDANT: Now I do.
```

1 THE COURT: I have no doubt that you will 2 emerge from this experience a stronger and better 3 person. You are already -- I think your counsel is 4 exactly right. You are essentially a good person. You 5 wouldn't do all the charitable things you've done if you weren't a charitable person. 6 7 But you can't steal from people. You can't break into computers. You can't use the skill you have 8 9 for bad purposes. It's very serious, extremely serious. 10 The guideline ranges reflect that. And I varied 11 downward from that, and I chose not to vary downward to split the sentence. I don't think that's appropriate. 12 13 Thank you for your judgment. THE DEFENDANT: 14 THE COURT: Anything further? Have I omitted anything, Ms. Riffle? 15 16 Oh, the special conditions of supervised 17 release. They are as follows: 18 You are to comply with the requirements of a 19 computer monitoring program to be administered and 20 directed by the Probation Office. You'll have to 21 consent to the installation of computer monitoring 22 software on any computer that you use at home and have 23 access to. 24 That doesn't apply to computers at your 25 employer. You know, if your employer allows you to use

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
a computer, you have to reveal to an employer this
conviction. And if they -- I think you have some people
who believe -- have faith in you and are prepared, as
your counsel proffered to the Court, prepared to let you
have access to their computer system. That's fine. The
Probation Office won't interfere with that.
            And I would assume that having gone through
this experience, you won't abuse that trust --
            THE DEFENDANT:
                           Yes.
            THE COURT: -- in the future.
            But you'll also have to incur -- don't incur
any credit charges or open additional lines of credit
without approval of the probation officer.
            Now that ceases once restitution is paid.
Once restitution is paid, he doesn't have to get
approval of the probation officer for that.
            And that restitution is joint and several
with Mr. Akhter's brother; is that right?
            ATTORNEY TADDEI: Restitution is joint and
several with Mr. Akhter's brother, as well as Mr. Ishak
in the order forthcoming.
            THE COURT: Mr. Ishak, as well.
            So once that's all paid off, they don't need
to get permission to get credit.
            And it is joint and several. So if
```

```
1
       Mr. Akhter, Sohaib Akhter, pays it all off, then that
2
       relieves Muneeb and Ishak.
3
                   I guess I am using -- mixing up first names
 4
       and last names. But that's my unfamiliarity.
                                                      It would
 5
       be -- it would relieve Mr. Muneeb Akhter's and
       Mr. Mosevik Ishak's obligation if Mr. Sohaib Akhter pays
 6
       it all off.
 7
                   Now, he will also have to provide the
 8
 9
       probation officer with access to any requested financial
10
       information, and apply all monies received from income
       tax refunds and lottery winnings, inheritances, that
11
12
       sort of thing, to the restitution obligation.
13
                   And I have a forfeiture order, too, as well.
14
       I'll enter both the restitution and the forfeiture
       order.
15
16
                   Now, this doesn't mean that I am heartless
17
       about your family and your father being out of a job and
18
       all of that sort of thing. Of course that's a hardship.
19
       But they will -- you know, your activity doesn't affect
       just you. That's part of being a family. They will
20
21
       simply have to make do.
22
                   Anything further from the government in this
23
       sentencing today?
24
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Nothing further. Thank
25
       you, your Honor.
```

```
1
                   THE COURT: Mr. Abbas?
2
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Your Honor, would you be
 3
       willing to recommend that he be placed in a facility
 4
       close to the DC Metro Area?
 5
                   THE COURT: Yes, I'll recommend that.
 6
                   But, as I said with respect to his brother,
 7
       the Bureau of Prisons knows they are identical twins.
       That goes two ways. One, it militates in favor of
 8
 9
       putting them together because identical twins, what
10
       little I know about identical twins, they do well
11
       together, flourish together, and don't do well apart.
                   Well, I don't know if that's true about all
12
13
       identical twins. I don't know about identical twins.
14
       But that's all information the Bureau of Prisons has.
15
                   The problem is that if they are
16
       coconspirators, the Bureau of Prisons rarely puts
17
       coconspirators together, for the obvious reason.
18
                   But I will recommend that he be designated
19
       to serve his sentence in a facility close to this area
20
       so that he can be near his family, which is clearly a
       very strong, tightly-knit family, that would be helpful
21
       to him in his recovery from this experience.
22
23
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Thank you, your Honor.
24
       That's it.
25
                   THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Abbas?
```

```
1
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor.
 2
                   THE COURT: Mr. Taddie, anything further?
 3
                   ATTORNEY TADDEI: Nothing further, your
 4
       Honor. Thank you.
 5
                   THE COURT: Good luck to you.
 6
                   Now, during this period of time that you
 7
       have between now and your reporting date -- and the
       probation officer will tell you when and where to
 8
 9
       report, and you can't miss that. And it will be a
10
       hundred days -- no sooner than a hundred days from
11
       today. But you need to get busy. She will tell you
12
       where and when you have to report.
13
                   But during the interim, you are subject to
14
       all the conditions you have been living under at
15
       present. You understand that?
16
                   THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I understand.
17
                   THE COURT: That means you can't travel
18
       outside the district without the permission of the
19
       Court.
20
                   Mr. Abbas, you are familiar with all of
21
       those, and --
22
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: Yes, your Honor.
23
                   THE COURT: -- and you will advise your
24
       client of that.
25
                   Now that doesn't mean that if this
```

```
1
       company -- where you are working now, again, you told
 2
       me?
 3
                   THE DEFENDANT: Thorlabs. It's a company in
 4
       Herndon, Virginia.
 5
                   THE COURT: All right. Now, if they need
       you to travel somewhere, I am prepared to let you go.
 6
 7
       If we have advance information and we know about it, we
 8
       will give you -- within the hundred days -- you can do
 9
       it. And I would hope that they will continue to hire
10
       you after your period of incarceration is over.
11
                   THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.
12
                   THE COURT: Anything further from the
13
       probation officer?
14
                   THE PROBATION OFFICER: No, your Honor.
15
                   THE COURT: All right. Or anyone one else?
                   ATTORNEY ABBAS: No, your Honor.
16
17
                   THE COURT: Court stands in recess.
18
                   (Court adjourned at 4:40 p.m. in USA v
19
       Sohaib Akhter.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 2 CERTIFICATE 3 4 I, MICHAEL A. RODRIQUEZ, an Official Court 5 Reporter for the United States District Court, in the Eastern District of Virginia, Alexandria Division, do 6 7 hereby certify that I reported by machine shorthand, in 8 my official capacity, the proceedings had upon the 9 sentencing hearing in the case of UNITED STATES OF 10 AMERICA v. SOHAIB AKHTER. 11 12 I further certify that I was authorized and 13 did report by stenotype the proceedings in said 14 sentencing hearing, and that the foregoing pages, 15 numbered 1 to 99, inclusive, constitute the official 16 transcript of said proceedings as taken from my machine 17 shorthand notes. 18 19 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereto subscribed my name this 20th day of February , 2017. 20 21 22 Michael a. Rodriquez, RPR/CM/RMR 23 Official Court Reporter 24 25